

REMARKS

Applicants respectfully traverse and request reconsideration.

Applicants respectfully note amendments to the specification and to claim 9 correcting cosmetic errors. Additionally, Applicants have amended claim 9 noting that each of the video overlay generators is capable of being operably coupled to at least corresponding first and second display devices. Applicants respectfully submit that no new subject matter has been added in the foregoing amendments and that the amendments are reflective of inherent limitations present in the claims as originally filed.

Claims 9, 10 and 12-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Ranganathan, U.S. Patent No. 5,764,201 (“Ranganathan”). Applicants respectfully reassert the relevant remarks made in their last response. Also, Applicants respectfully draw the Examiner’s attention to section 3, pages 2-3 of the present office action disclosing Examiner’s understanding that “Ranganathan does not expressly disclose first and second video overlay generators that are each capable of being operably coupled to at least corresponding first and second display devices.” Furthermore, Applicants respectfully note that claim 9 has been amended to include the inherent limitation that the “each of the video overlay generators is capable of being operably coupled to at least corresponding first and second display devices.” Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 9 appears to be in proper condition for allowance over Ranganathan for, among other reasons, the aforementioned amendment to claim 9.


Claims 10 and 12-14 depend from allowable base claim 9 and are further believed to be in proper condition for allowance for at least the same reasons as allowable claim 9. Moreover, claims 10 and 12-14 contain patentable subject matter not present in Ranganathan and are therefore believed to be independently allowable.

Claim 11 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Ranganathan in view of Blahut et al., U.S. Patent No, 5,570,126 ("Blahut"). Claim 11 is dependent upon allowable base claim 9 and further contains patentable subject matter not present in the prior art. For the foregoing reasons, claim 11 is believed to be in proper condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. The Examiner is invited to contact the below-listed attorney if the Examiner believes that a telephone conference will advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 24, 2004

By: 
Christopher J. Reckamp
Reg. No. 34,414

Vedder, Price, Kaufman & Kammholz, P.C.
222 North LaSalle
Chicago, Illinois 60601-1003
312/609-7500
312/609-5005 Facsimile